

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/008,195	BRIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Dicran Halajian.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claim 7 to correct a grammatical error and to overcome the 101 issue wherein the processor calculates and outputs the best-case response time. The interview concluded.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)